Case 1:13-cv-01003-PJG ECF No. 1, PageID.1 Filed 09/12/13 Page 1 of 4 FILED - GR In the United States District Court September 12, 2013 11:31 AM For the Western District of Michigan U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SCANNED BY WILL JAMES HARRISON FOX BY: MKC / (Enter above the full names of all plaintiffs, including prisoner number, in this action.) 1:13-cv-1003 V. Robert Holmes Bell - U.S. District Judge Joseph G Scoville - U.S. Magistrate Judge DANIEL HEYNS DIRECTOR MDOC; et a (Enter above the full name of the defendant or defendants in this action.) COMPLAINT Previous Lawsuits CAUTION: The Prison Litigation Reform Act has resulted in substantial changes in the ability of incarcerated individuals to initiate law suits in this and other federal courts without prepayment of the required \$350 filing fee. Accurate and complete responses are required concerning your litigation history. Generally, a plaintiff's failure to accurately and completely answer the questions set forth below will result in denial of the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis and require you to pay the entire \$350 filing fee regardless whether your complaint is dismissed. Have you ever filed a lawsuit while incarcerated or detained in any prison or jail facility? A. If your answer to question A was yes, for each lawsuit you have filed you must answer questions 1 through 5 below. B Attach additional sheets as necessary to answer questions 1 through 5 below with regard to each lawsuit. Identify the court in which the lawsuit was filed. If it was a state court, identify the county in which the suit was filed. If the lawsuit was filed in federal court, identify the district within which the lawsuit was filed. 2. Is the action still pending? Yes D No D a. If your answer was no, state precisely how the action was resolved: Did you appeal the decision? Yes □ No □ 4. Is the appeal still pending? Yes□ No□ a. If not pending, what was the decision on appeal? 5. Was the previous lawsuit based upon the same or similar facts asserted in this lawsuit? Yes \(\simega \) No \(\simega \)

Place of Present Confinement RICHARD HANDLOW CORRECTIONAL FACILITY (MTU)

If so, explain:

II.

If the place of present confinement is not the place you were confined when occurrence that is subject of instant lawsuit arose, also list the place you were confined:

III.

A.

В.

Name of Defendant #2 Official and/or personal capacity? Name of Defendant #3 Position or Title Place of Employment Official and/or personal capacity? Name of Defendant #4 Position or Title Place of Employment Will Cul Official and/or personal capacity? Name of Defendant #5 Position or Title Place of Employment Address Official and/or personal capacity?

IV.

State here, as briefly as possible, the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is personally involved. Include also, the names of other persons involved, dates and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.

1- Plaintiffs denied recognition of Christian Identity faith at the
institutional level, all the way up to the Directors Office.
(A) Christian Identity Faith requires the observance of all Biblical
Holy Days. Passover, Feast of Unleavened Bread, Pentacost, Feast of
Trumpets, Day of Atonement, Feast of Tabernacles, and the Last Great
Day. No other faith group at the Richard Handlon Correctional Facility
or in the Michigan Department of Corrections observes all these Holy
Days in a manner consistent with the beliefs held by the plaintiffs or
other adherents of the Christian Identity Faith.
(B) Christian Identity requires Baptism by full body immersion for the
salvation of ones immortal soul.
(C) Christian Identity adherents must congregate for worship services.
(1) Daniel Heyns, Warden Stoddard, Deputy Warden Young, and Chaplain
Leslie have denied the plaintiffs the practice of sincerely held
religious beliefs.
(2) Director Heyns was sent a Motion for Declaratory ruling pursuant to
M.C.L. 791.1115, to make a decision to allow recognition to Christian
Identity adherents. The Motion was denied May 17th. 2013. Steps one
through three of the Grievance process have been exhausted as well.

Case 1:13-cv-01003-PJG ECF No. 1, PageID.4 Filed 09/12/13 Page 4 of 4 Relief

State briefly and precisely what you want the court to do for you.

Plaintiffs request that defendants be ordered to grant department wide recognition of Christian Identity as a distinctly separate faith group.

Plaintiffs further request that defendants be ordered to recognize the observance of all Biblical Holy days and Baptism by full body immersion for all adherents of the Christian Identity faith.

Plaintiffs further request that defendants be ordered to reimburse all fees and casts of litigation incurred by plaintiffs.

plaintiffs further request that they be housed at the Richard Handlon Corr.

Facility pending resolution of this litigation.

9-7-2013

Date

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF(S)

The failure of a pro se litigant to keep the court apprised of an address change may be considered cause for dismissal.